

MATH 8: NUMBER THEORY 1

MARCH 8, 2026

NUMBERS!

The oldest kind of numbers are “counting” numbers: 1, 2, 3, They can be described (not very rigorously) as the numbers you get if keep adding 1 to number 1. Mathematicians call them *natural numbers* and use letter \mathbb{N} to denote the set of all natural numbers:

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

One problem with natural numbers is that if you want to be able to subtract two numbers, you might not be able to do so within \mathbb{N} . To deal with this problem, people have invented zero and negative numbers. Adding them to the set of natural numbers, we get the set of all *integer* numbers:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{-n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

In this assignment, the word “number” will always mean “integer number” (unless explicitly stated otherwise).

As with Euclidean geometry, it is possible to build a rigorous theory of numbers by starting with a few axioms and proving everything else from them. This would take significant time, though. So instead we take it for granted that for integer numbers, one has

- Arithmetic operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, satisfying all the usual algebraic laws (commutativity, associativity, distributivity, . . .)
- Inequalities: $m < n$, again satisfying the familiar rules

In addition, there is one more property which is used commonly:

In any non-empty set of natural numbers, there must be the smallest number.

(This is one of many equivalent forms of the induction principle, which will be discussed in detail in Math 9).

We will try to derive all other familiar properties of integers (such as prime factorization) from the ones above.

DIVISORS

We begin with some definitions and notation. Given integer numbers m, n , we say

- Number d is a **divisor** of m , or $d|m$, if $m = dk$ for some integer number k . (The word **factor** is also commonly used, with exactly the same meaning.) In this situation we also say that m is a **multiple** of d
- d is a **common divisor** of m, n if $(d|m) \wedge (d|n)$. (The word **common factor** is also commonly used, with exactly the same meaning.)
- $d > 0$ is the **greatest common divisor** of m, n , written $d = \gcd(m, n)$ or simply $d = (m, n)$, if d is greater than or equal to every common divisor of m, n .
- m, n are **relatively prime** if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.
- l is a **common multiple** of m, n if $(m|l) \wedge (n|l)$.
- $l > 0$ is the **least common multiple** of m, n , written $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, if l is less than or equal to every common multiple of m, n .

We’ll continue on our journey through numbers with the following theorems, which have interesting ramifications:

Theorem 1. *If $d|m$ and $d|n$, then $d|(m - n)$ and $d|(m + n)$.*

Proof. Since $d|m$, we have $m = ad$ for some a ; similarly, $n = bd$ for some b (note: we can not use letter a — it has already been used!). Then $m - n = ad - bd = d(a - b)$ and similarly $m + n = d(a + b)$. Thus, $m - n$ and $m + n$ both have d as a divisor. \square

Theorem 2. *If d is a common divisor of m, n , then for any integers x, y , we have $d|(xm + yn)$.*

Proof. Let $m = ad$ and $n = bd$. Then $xm + yn = xad + byd = d(xa + by)$. \square

The following concept is known as division with remainder.

Theorem 3. Let d, n be natural numbers. Then there exists unique pair of numbers q, r , such that $0 \leq r < d$ and $n = qd + r$.

The number r is called *remainder upon division of n by d* .

Proof. Below is the sketch of proof.

Consider the set of non-negative numbers $\{n, n - d, n - 2d, \dots\}$ (note: we only include numbers which are ≥ 0). Take the smallest number in this set; let it be $r = n - qd$. Then we must have $r < d$ (why?), so we get $n = qd + r$, and $0 \leq r < d$ as required. \square

The same statement also works if we allow n be an arbitrary integer, not necessarily positive. However, d must be positive, otherwise inequality $0 \leq r < d$ makes no sense. For example, if $n = -13$, $d = 10$, then $-13 = -20 + 7 = (-2) \times 10 + 7$, so in this case the remainder is 7.

In particular, if we take $d = 2$, then we see that possible remainders upon division by 2 are 0 and 1. Numbers which give remainder 0 upon division by 2 (i.e. are divisible by 2) are called *even*, those that give remainder 1 are called *odd*.

PRIME NUMBERS

- A natural number m is prime if it has no positive divisors other than 1 and m itself.
- $m > 1$ is composite if it is not prime.
- $p > 0$ is a prime factor of m if $p|m$ and p is prime.

Note: number 1 is usually not considered composite; thus, it is the only natural number which is neither composite nor prime.

Theorem 4. Any number greater than 1 can be written as a product of one or more primes.

This is called *prime factorization* of a number.

Proof. Proof by contradiction. Assume it is not so, i.e. there are numbers > 1 that can not be written as products of primes. Take the smallest such number n . It can not be prime, so it is composite; thus $n = ab$, $1 < a < n$, $1 < b < n$. Since a, b are less than n , each of them is a product of primes. Multiplying these two products together, we get a formula for n as a product of primes. \square

It is also true that prime factorization is unique (up to changing the order of factors), but it is a much more difficult result. We will discuss it later.

Theorem 5. (Euclid) There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof of this theorem is given to you as an exercise (see Problem 7)

HOMEWORK

1. Is 0 divisible by 5? Is 5 divisible by 0?
2. (a) Prove that if a is even, then ab is even for any b .
(b) Prove that if a, b are odd, then ab is also odd. [Hint: if a is odd then by definition, $a = 2k + 1$ for some k .]
3. If $a|b$ and $b|c$, show that $a|c$.
4. Show that the set of common divisors of m, n is the same as the set of common divisors of $m, m - n$: if d is a common divisor of m, n , then d is also a divisor of $m - n$, and conversely if d is a common divisor of $m, m - n$, then d is also a divisor of n . Deduce from this
$$\gcd(m, n) = \gcd(m, m - n).$$
5. Use the previous problem to compute $\gcd(1007, 501)$ without factoring each of them.
6. Show that if p_1, \dots, p_k are prime, then the number $p_1 p_2 \dots p_k + 1$ is not divisible by any of p_i .
Deduce from this that there are infinitely many primes (hint: use proof by contradiction, starting with "Assume there are only finitely many prime numbers...")
7. (a) Show that for any integer n , $n^{2026} - 1$ is divisible by $n - 1$. [Hint: geometric progression!]

- (b) Show that for any integer n , $n^{2025} + 1$ is divisible by $n + 1$. [Hint: write $n = -m$.]
- *8.** Prove that equation $p^2 = 2q^2$ has no integer solutions. (This is equivalent to saying that $\sqrt{2}$ is not a rational number.)

Hint: if p, q have common factors, divide both p and q by them until we get a pair with no common factors. Now use problem 2 to show that p must be even, and then argue why q must also be even, getting a contradiction.