## Algebra.

#### Equivalence relations and partitions.

**Definition**. A **binary relation** on a set *A*,

$$x \sim y$$
,  $x, y \in A$ 

is a collection of ordered pairs of elements of A,  $\{(x,y)\}$ ,  $x,y \in A$ . In other words, it is a subset of the Cartesian product  $A^2 = A \times A$ .

More generally, a binary relation between two sets A and B is a subset of  $A \times B$ . The terms correspondence, dyadic relation and 2-place relation are synonyms for binary relation.

**Example 1**. A binary relation > ("is greater than") between real numbers  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  associates to every real number all real numbers that are to the left of it on the number axis.

**Example 2**. A binary relation "is the divisor of " between the set of prime numbers P and the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  associates every prime p with every integer n that is a multiple of p, but not with integers that are not multiples of p. In this relation, the prime 3 is associated with numbers that include -6, 0, 6, 9, but not 2 or -8; and the prime 5 is associated with numbers that include 0, 10, and 125, but not 6 or 11.

Injections, surjections, bijections between the sets are established by defining the corresponding (injective, surjective, or one-to-one) binary relations between the elements of these sets. A relation  $x \sim y$  is,

- **left-total**:  $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y, x \sim y$ , a relation is left-total when it is a function, or a multivalued function;
- **surjective** (right-total, or onto):  $\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X, x \sim y$ ;
- **injective** (left-unique):  $\forall (x_1, x_2, \in X, y \in Y), ((x_1 \sim y) \land (x_2 \sim y) \Rightarrow (x_1 = x_2))$
- **functional** (right-unique, also called univalent, or right-definite):  $\forall (x \in X, y_1, y_2, \in Y), ((x \sim y_1) \land (x \sim y_2) \Rightarrow (y_1 = y_2))$ , such a binary relation is also called a partial function;
- **one-to-one**: injective and functional.

A binary relation  $x \sim y$  is

- **reflexive** if  $\forall x \in A$ , we have  $x \sim x$
- symmetric if  $\forall x, y \in A$ , we have  $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow (y \sim x)$
- transitive if  $\forall x, y, z \in A$ , we have  $(x \sim y) \land (y \sim z) \Rightarrow (x \sim z)$

**Definition**. An **equivalence relation** is a binary relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Given an equivalence relation on A, we can define, for every  $a \in A$ , its **equivalence class** [a] as the following subset of A:

$$[a] = \{x \in A, (x \sim a)\}$$

**Definition**. A **partition** of a set *A* is decomposition of it into non-intersecting subsets:

$$A \ = \ A_1 \cup A_2 \ldots \cup A_n \ldots$$

with  $A_i \cap A_i = \emptyset$ . It is allowed to have infinitely many subsets  $A_i$ .

**Theorem**. If  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on a set A, then it defines a partition of A into equivalence classes.

**Example**. Define the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by congruence  $mod\ 3$ :  $a \equiv b\ mod\ 3$  if a-b is a multiple of 3. This defines a partition,  $[0]=\{\ldots,-6,-3,0,3,6,\ldots\}$ ,  $[1]=\{\ldots,-2,1,4,7,\ldots\}$ ,  $[2]=\{\ldots,-1,2,5,8,\ldots\}$ .

**Exercise 1**. Present examples of binary relations that are, and that are not equivalence relations. For each of the following relations, check whether it is an equivalence relation.

- On the set of all lines in the plane: relation of being parallel
- On the set of all lines in the plane: relation of being perpendicular
- On  $\mathbb{R}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if  $x + y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- On  $\mathbb{R}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if  $x y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- On  $\mathbb{R}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if x > y
- On  $\mathbb{R} \{0\}$ : relation given by  $x \sim y$  if xy > 0

**Exercise 2**. Let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on A.

- Prove that if  $a \sim b$ , then [a] = [b]:  $\forall x \in A, x \in [a] \Rightarrow x \in [b]$
- Prove that if  $a \not\sim b$ , then  $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ .

**Exercise 3**. Let  $f: A \xrightarrow{f} B$  be a function. Define a relation on A by  $a \sim b$  if f(a) = f(b). Prove that it is an equivalence relation.

**Exercise 4.** For a positive integer number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define relation  $\equiv$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $a \equiv b$  if a - b is a multiple of n

- Prove that it is an equivalence relation;
- Describe equivalence class [0];
- Prove that equivalence class of [a + b] only depends on equivalence classes of a, b, that is, if [a] = [a'], [b] = [b'], then [a + b] = [a' + b'].

**Exercise 5**. Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  by  $(x_1, x_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$  if  $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2$ . Prove that it is an equivalence relation and describe the equivalence class of (1, 2).

**Exercise 6**. Is it possible to partition the set of all integers,  $\mathbb{Z}$ , into equivalence classes using the binary relation  $p \sim q$ :  $p \equiv 0 mod(q)$  ("p is a multiple of q"), which was defined in Example 2.

### Recap: Elements of number theory. Modular arithmetics.

**Definition**. For  $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the congruence relation,  $a \equiv b \mod n$ , denotes that, a - b is a multiple of n, or,  $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a = nq + b.

All integers congruent to a given number  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with respect to a division by  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  form congruence classes,  $[r]_n$ . For example, for n = 3,

$$[0]_3 = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\}$$

$$[1]_3 = \{\dots, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\}$$

$$[2]_3 = \{\dots, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\}$$

$$[3]_3 = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\} = [0]_3$$

There are exactly n congruence classes mod n, forming set  $Z_n$ . In the above example n=3, the set of equivalence classes is  $Z_3=\{[0]_3,[1]_3,[2]_3\}$ . For

general n, the set is  $Z_n = \{[0]_n, [1]_n, ..., [n-1]_n\}$ , because  $[n]_n = [0]_n$ .

One can define addition and multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  in the usual way,

$$[a]_n + [b]_n = [a+b]_n$$
$$[a]_n \cdot [b]_n = [a \cdot b]_n$$
$$([a]_n)^p = [a^p]_n, p \in \mathbb{N}$$

Here the last relation for power follows from the definition of multiplication.

**Exercise**. Check that so defined operations do not depend on the choice of representatives a, b in each equivalence class.

**Exercise**. Check that so defined operations of addition and multiplication satisfy all the usual rules: associativity, commutativity, distributivity.

In general, however, it is impossible to define division in the usual way: for example,  $[2]_6 \cdot [3]_6 = [6]_6 = [0]_6$ , but one cannot divide both sides by  $[3]_6$  to obtain  $[2]_6 = [0]_6$ . In other words, for general n an element  $[a]_n$  of  $Z_n$  could give  $[0]_n$  upon multiplication by some of the elements in  $Z_n$  and therefore would not have properties of an algebraic inverse, so there may exist elements in  $Z_n$  which do not have inverse. In practice, this means that if we try to define an inverse element,  $[r^{-1}]_n$ , to an element  $[r]_n$  employing the usual relation,  $[r]_n \cdot [r^{-1}]_n = [1]_n$ , there might be no element  $[r^{-1}]_n$  in class  $Z_n$  satisfying this equation. However, it is possible to define the inverse for some special values of r and r. The corresponding classes  $[r]_n$  are called invertible in  $Z_n$ .

**Definition**. The congruence class  $[r]_n \in Z_n$  is called invertible in  $Z_n$ , if there exists a class  $[r^{-1}]_n \in Z_n$ , such that  $[r]_n \cdot [r^{-1}]_n = [1]_n$ .

**Theorem**. Congruence class  $[r]_n \in Z_n$  is invertible in  $Z_n$ , if and only if r and n are mutually prime, (r, n) = 1. Or,  $\forall [r]_n$ ,  $(\exists [r^{-1}]_n \in Z_n) \Leftrightarrow ((r, n) = 1)$ .

To find the inverse of  $[a] \in Z_n$ , we have to solve the equation, ax + ny = 1, which can be done using Eucleadean algorithm. Then,  $ax \equiv 1 \mod n$ , and  $[a]^{-1} = [x]$ .

#### Examples.

3 is invertible mod 10, i. e. in  $Z_{10}$ , because  $[3]_{10} \cdot [7]_{10} = [21]_{10} = [1]_{10}$ , but is

not invertible mod 9, i. e. in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ , because  $[3]_9 \cdot [3]_9 = [0]_9$ .

7 is invertible in  $Z_{15}$ :  $[7]_{15} \cdot [13]_{15} = [91]_{15} = [1]_{15}$ , but is not invertible in  $Z_{14}$ :  $[7]_{14} \cdot [2]_{14} = [14]_{14} = [0]_{14}$ .

# Solutions to some homework problems.

1. Problem.

Solution.