MATH 8: HANDOUT 24 DIVISIBILITY VII: CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM

We have by now discussed congruences mod m, for positive integer m, and we have defined the notion of invertibility of residues mod m (for reference, a remainder mod m is sometimes called a *residue* mod m). What about *noninvertibility*?

Theorem. If m is a composite number such that m = ab for integers a, b > 1, then there exist non-invertible residues (or remainders) modulo m.

Proof. We prove that a factor of m is noninvertible mod m. To see this, the equation $ax \equiv 1 \mod m$ has no solution because a is not relatively prime to m; i.e., gcd(a, m) = a, thus ax = 1 + by is equivalent to finding x, y such that ax - by = 1, which is impossible. So a is noninvertible mod m.

It turns out that not only are a and b noninvertible mod m, but all of their multiples as well are noninvertible. The nature of the non-invertibility of multiples of a and $b \mod m$ is closely related to the non-invertibility of $(0 \mod a)$ and $(0 \mod b)$.

Theorem. If m = ab and r is non-invertible mod m, then $(r \mod a)$ or $(r \mod b)$ is non-invertible mod a or b, respectively.

Proof. We have that r is invertible mod m if and only if it is relatively prime to m. Thus this theorem reduces to the following statement: gcd(r, m) = 1 if and only if gcd(r, a) = 1 and gcd(r, b) = 1.

If $gcd(r, a) \neq 1$, then gcd(r, a) = d for d > 1, and hence d|ab because d|a, thus d|m; therefore, d is a common factor of r and m and gcd(r, m) > 1: this implies that gcd(r, m) can be 1 only if gcd(r, a) = gcd(r, b) = 1. The converse is left as an exercise.

These theorems motivate us to consider if there is a more specific relationship between the residues mod m and those mod a, b. The full theorem will be given at the end of this section - before we state it, it's worth it to understand the multiples of $a \mod b$: this is where we make use of the assumption that a, b be relatively prime, i.e. gcd(a, b) = 1.

Theorem. If a, b > 1 are integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1, then the numbers 0, a, 2a, ..., (b-1)a have unique remainders mod b. In other words, for any integers $0 \le x < y < b$, we must have $xa \not\equiv ya \mod b$.

Proof. We prove by contradiction: suppose that $xa \equiv ya \mod b$ for $0 \leq x < y < b$. Then $(x - y)a \equiv 0$. We know also that a is invertible mod b because gcd(a, b) = 1, thus we may multiply this congruence by the inverse h of $a \mod b$ (i.e. $ha \equiv 1 \mod b$) to get:

 $(x-y)ah \equiv 0 \cdot h \implies (x-y) \cdot 1 \equiv 0 \implies x-y \equiv 0 \implies x \equiv y.$

But $0 \le x < y < b$, thus it is a simple fact of numbers that y - x cannot be a multiple of b, which is a contradiction.

As a result, one can imagine that the multiples of *a* cycle around the residues mod *b*; if a = 1 for example, then the multiples of *a* are simply 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., b - 1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ... etc, and if a > 1, then the multiples of *a* need not be consecutive integers mod *b*, but they will still go through each of the residues mod *b* exactly once until they return to 0 with $ab \equiv 0 \mod b$.

It remains to notice that there are $a \cdot b$ ways to choose a residue mod a and a residue mod b. Then we guess that, since there are exactly $a \cdot b$ residues mod m = ab, there might be a one-to-one relationship between pairs of residues $(x, y) \mod a, b$ and residues $r \mod m$.

Indeed, this is the case.

Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let a, b be relatively prime. Then the following system of congruences:

$$x \equiv k \mod a$$
$$x \equiv l \mod b$$

has a unique solution mod ab, i.e. there exists exactly one integer x such that $0 \le x < ab$ and x satisfies both the above congruences.

Proof. Let x = k + ta for some integer t. Then x satisfies the first congruence, and our goal will be to find t such that x satisfies the second congruence.

To do this, write $k + ta \equiv l \mod b$, which gives $ta \equiv l - k \mod b$. Notice now that because a, b are relatively prime, a has an inverse $h \mod b$ such that $ah \equiv 1 \mod b$. Therefore $t \equiv h(l-k) \mod b$, and x = k + ah(l - k) is a solution to both the congruences.

To see uniqueness, suppose x and x' are both solutions to both congruences such that 0 < x, x' < ab. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x - x' &\equiv k - k \equiv 0 \mod a \\ x - x' &\equiv l - l \equiv 0 \mod b \end{aligned}$$

Thus x - x' is a multiple of both a and b; because a, b are relatively prime, this implies that x - x' is a multiple of ab, but if this is the case then x and x' cannot both be positive and less than ab unless they are in fact equal.

Homework

- **1.** Is it possible for a multiple of 3 to be congruent to $5 \mod 12$?
- **2.** (a) Find inverse of 7 mod 11.
 - (b) Find all solutions of the equation

$$7x \equiv 5 \mod 11$$

3. Solve the following systems of congruences

$$x \equiv 1 \mod 3$$
$$x \equiv 1 \mod 5$$

x

(b)

- $z \equiv 1 \mod 5$ $z \equiv 6 \mod 7$
- 4. (a) Find the remainder upon division of 23^{2019} by 7.
 - (b) Find the remainder upon division of 23^{2019} by 70. [Hint: use $70 = 7 \cdot 10$ and Chinese Remainder Theorem.]
- 5. (a) Find the remainder upon division of 24^{46} by 100.
 - (b) Determine all integers k such that $10^k 1$ is divisible by 99.
- 6. In a calendar of some ancient race, the year consists of 12 months, each 30 days long. They also use 7 day weeks, same as we do.

If first day of the year was a Monday, will it ever happen that 13th day of some month is a Friday? If so, when will be the first time it happens, and how often will it repeat afterwards?

[Hint: this can be rewritten as a system of congruences: $n \equiv 5 \mod 7$, $n \equiv 13 \mod 30$.]

7. How many remainders mod 2310 can be expressed as powers of 6?