

January 13, 2019

Algebra.

Cartesian product.

Given two sets, A and B , we can construct a third set, C , which is made of all possible ordered pairs of the elements of these sets, (a, b) , where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. We thus have a **binary operation**, which acts on a pair of objects (sets A and B) and returns a third object (set C). Following Rene Descartes, who first considered such construction in the context of Cartesian coordinates of points on a plane, in mathematics such operation is called Cartesian product.

A **Cartesian product** is a mathematical operation that returns a (product) set from multiple sets. For two sets A and B , the Cartesian product $A \times B$ is the set of all ordered pairs (a, b) where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$,

$$A \times B = \{(a, b): a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

Example 1. A table can be created from a single row and a single column, by taking the Cartesian product of a set of objects in a row and a set of objects in a column. In the Cartesian product row \times column, the cells of the table contain ordered pairs of the form (row object, column object).

Example 2. Another example is a 52 (or 36) card deck. In a 52 card deck, the standard playing card ranks $\{A, K, Q, J, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2\}$ form a 13-element set. The card suits $\{\spadesuit, \heartsuit, \diamondsuit, \clubsuit\}$ form a four-element set. The Cartesian product of these two sets returns a 52-element set consisting of 52 ordered pairs, which correspond to all 52 possible playing cards. Ranks \times Suits returns a set of the form $\{(A, \spadesuit), (A, \heartsuit), (A, \diamondsuit), (A, \clubsuit), (K, \spadesuit), \dots, (3, \clubsuit), (2, \spadesuit), (2, \heartsuit), (2, \diamondsuit), (2, \clubsuit)\}$. Suits \times Ranks returns a set of the form $\{(\spadesuit, A), (\spadesuit, K), (\spadesuit, Q), (\spadesuit, J), (\spadesuit, 10), \dots, (\clubsuit, 6), (\clubsuit, 5), (\clubsuit, 4), (\clubsuit, 3), (\clubsuit, 2)\}$. Are these two sets different?

The Cartesian product $A \times B$ is **not commutative**, because the elements in the ordered pairs are reversed.

$$\{(a, b): a \in A \wedge b \in B\} = A \times B \neq B \times A = \{(b, a): a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

Exercise 1. Construct Cartesian product for sets:

- $A = \{13,14\}; B = \{1,1\}$
- $A = \{3,5,7\}; B = \{7,5,3\}$
- $A = \{a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h\}; B = \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$
- $A = \{J,F,M,A,M,J,J,A,S,O,N,D\}; B = \{n: n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n \leq 31\}$

Exercise 2. Check non-commutativity for Cartesian product of sets in Exercise 1 (construct $B \times A$).

Exercise 3. For which particular cases is the Cartesian product commutative?

The Cartesian product is **not associative**,

$$(A \times B) \times C \neq A \times (B \times C)$$

For example, if $A = \{1\}$, then,

$$(A \times A) \times A = \{((1,1),1)\} \neq \{(1,(1,1))\} = A \times (A \times A)$$

Exercise 4. For which particular cases is the Cartesian product associative?

The Cartesian product has the following property with respect to intersections,

$$(A \cap B) \times (C \cap D) = (A \times C) \cap (B \times D)$$

The above statement is not true if we replace intersection with union,

$$(A \cup B) \times (C \cup D) \neq (A \times C) \cup (B \times D)$$

Exercise 5. Prove the following distributivity properties of Cartesian products,

- $A \times (B \cap C) = (A \times B) \cap (A \times C)$
- $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$
- $A \times (B \setminus C) = (A \times B) \setminus (A \times C)$

Equivalence relations and partitions.

Definition. A **binary relation** on a set A ,

$$x \sim y, \quad x, y \in A$$

is a collection of ordered pairs of elements of A , $\{(x, y)\}$, $x, y \in A$. In other words, it is a subset of the Cartesian product $A^2 = A \times A$.

More generally, a binary relation between two sets A and B is a subset of $A \times B$. The terms correspondence, dyadic relation and 2-place relation are synonyms for binary relation.

Example 1. A binary relation $>$ (“is greater than”) between real numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ associates to every real number all real numbers that are to the left of it on the number axis.

Example 2. A binary relation “is the divisor of” between the set of prime numbers P and the set of integers \mathbb{Z} associates every prime p with every integer n that is a multiple of p , but not with integers that are not multiples of p . In this relation, the prime 3 is associated with numbers that include $-6, 0, 6, 9$, but not 2 or -8 ; and the prime 5 is associated with numbers that include 0, 10, and 125, but not 6 or 11.

Injections, surjections, bijections between the sets are established by defining the corresponding (injective, surjective, or one-to-one) binary relations between the elements of these sets. A relation $x \sim y$ is,

- **left-total:** $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y, x \sim y$, a relation is left-total when it is a function, or a multivalued function;
- **surjective** (right-total, or onto): $\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X, x \sim y$;
- **injective** (left-unique): $\forall (x_1, x_2 \in X, y \in Y), ((x_1 \sim y) \wedge (x_2 \sim y) \Rightarrow (x_1 = x_2))$
- **functional** (right-unique, also called univalent, or right-definite):
 $\forall (x \in X, y_1, y_2 \in Y), ((x \sim y_1) \wedge (x \sim y_2) \Rightarrow (y_1 = y_2))$, such a binary relation is also called a partial function;
- **one-to-one:** injective and functional.

A binary relation $x \sim y$ is

- **reflexive** if $\forall x \in A$, we have $x \sim x$

- **symmetric** if $\forall x, y \in A$, we have $(x \sim y) \Rightarrow (y \sim x)$
- **transitive** if $\forall x, y, z \in A$, we have $(x \sim y) \wedge (y \sim z) \Rightarrow (x \sim z)$

Definition. An **equivalence relation** is a binary relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Given an equivalence relation on A , we can define, for every $a \in A$, its **equivalence class** $[a]$ as the following subset of A :

$$[a] = \{x \in A, (x \sim a)\}$$

Definition. A **partition** of a set A is decomposition of it into non-intersecting subsets:

$$A = A_1 \cup A_2 \dots \cup A_n \dots$$

with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$. It is allowed to have infinitely many subsets A_i .

Theorem. If \sim is an equivalence relation on a set A , then it defines a partition of A into equivalence classes.

Example. Define the equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} by congruence *mod* 3:
 $a \equiv b \text{ mod } 3$ if $a - b$ is a multiple of 3. This defines a partition, $[0] = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\}$, $[1] = \{\dots, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\}$, $[2] = \{\dots, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\}$.

Exercise 1. Present examples of binary relations that are, and that are not equivalence relations. For each of the following relations, check whether it is an equivalence relation.

- On the set of all lines in the plane: relation of being parallel
- On the set of all lines in the plane: relation of being perpendicular
- On \mathbb{R} : relation given by $x \sim y$ if $x + y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- On \mathbb{R} : relation given by $x \sim y$ if $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$
- On \mathbb{R} : relation given by $x \sim y$ if $x > y$
- On $\mathbb{R} - \{0\}$: relation given by $x \sim y$ if $xy > 0$

Exercise 2. Let \sim be an equivalence relation on A .

- Prove that if $a \sim b$, then $[a] = [b]$: $\forall x \in A, x \in [a] \Rightarrow x \in [b]$
- Prove that if $a \not\sim b$, then $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$.

Exercise 3. Let $f: A \xrightarrow{f} B$ be a function. Define a relation on A by $a \sim b$ if $f(a) = f(b)$. Prove that it is an equivalence relation.

Exercise 4. For a positive integer number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define relation \equiv on \mathbb{Z} by $a \equiv b$ if $a - b$ is a multiple of n

- Prove that it is an equivalence relation;
- Describe equivalence class $[0]$;
- Prove that equivalence class of $[a + b]$ only depends on equivalence classes of a, b , that is, if $[a] = [a']$, $[b] = [b']$, then $[a + b] = [a' + b']$.

Exercise 5. Define a relation \sim on $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $(x_1, x_2) \sim (y_1, y_2)$ if $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2$. Prove that it is an equivalence relation and describe the equivalence class of $(1, 2)$.

Exercise 6. Is it possible to partition the set of all integers, \mathbb{Z} , into equivalence classes using the binary relation $p \sim q: p \equiv 0 \text{ mod } (q)$ (“ p is a multiple of q ”), which was defined in Example 2.